Discussion Topics

Regional and Global CAL/VAL for Assembling a Climate Data Record

Questions from Plenary

- 1) Are our cal/val methods sufficient to verify the Jason-CS/Sentinel-6 global and regional mean sea level stability requirements?
- 2) Considering the possibility of switching on the redundant altimeter on JCS/S6 during the cal/val phase with Jason-3. If feasible, what is the number of cycles that the redundant altimeter should operate?
- 3) Alternative processing approaches such as fully-focused SAR processing are emerging. Will the current Sentinel-3 and Jason-CS/Sentinel-6 systems allow for novel processing approaches to be fully exploited?
- 4) What would be the impact of de-scoping MLE3 fields in the baseline for JCS/S6 products (except for sigma0)?
- 5) Would increasing the frequency of the Jason-3 AMR cold sky calibrations to improve the long term stability?
- 6) What are the open issues that affect the continuity between LRM and SAR modes from SWH, roughness, swell and their impacts on SSH?
- 7) What areas should S6/JCS RAW SAR data (non-RMC) be collected (acquisition mask)?

Further Questions

- 1) How do we better better understand discrepancies of the SSH bias between sites? Can we separate/quantify the origin?
 - Systematic errors in the local datum?
 - Local behavior of corrections (or orbit, or range)
- How do we improve the reach, coverage and accuracy of the dedicated sites? (e.g., extend and densify observations)
 - ...to make better connections between coast and open ocean.
 - ...to support emerging SAR-like and swath (SWOT) measurements.
- 3) Swell is impacting SAR data quality, is there any effect on the LRM data? What kind of external information (e.g., models, in-situ...) is required to address this? What about the roughness heterogeneities (internal tides, coastal zone, ...)?
- 4) Given the improved accuracy (~few mm) of Jason-CS range values, are current tools (statistical, network, dedicated sites) adequate?
- 5) SWOT is using an envelope spectra for the error characterization: should this approach be applied to current along-track nadir altimeters?
- 6) New retracking solutions are proposed (ALES, Adaptive, LR-RMC, ...). Are current tools (statistical, network, dedicated sites) adequate to quantify the advantages/drawbacks of those solutions?
- 7) Global CalVal analysis has been focused on ocean what can/should be developed over inland water surfaces?